

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA625797**

Filing date: **09/08/2014**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91216552
Party	Defendant Technicolor
Correspondence Address	MICHELLE L VISSER RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC 39533 WOODWARD AVE , STE 140 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-5098 UNITED STATES tmdocketing@raderfishman.com
Submission	Answer
Filer's Name	Michelle L. Visser
Filer's e-mail	tmdocketing@raderfishman.com
Signature	/Michelle L. Visser/
Date	09/08/2014
Attachments	FRAMELOGIC answer.pdf(94665 bytes )

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

FRAME LOGIC DIGITAL LLC,	)	
	)	
Opposer,	)	
	)	Opposition No. 91216552
v.	)	Application No. 85682937
	)	Mark: FRAMELOGIC
TECHNICOLOR,	)	
	)	
Applicant.	)	
_____	/	

**ANSWER TO NOTICE OF OPPOSITION**

Applicant, by and through its attorneys identified below, for its Answer to the Notice of Opposition, states as follows:

With respect to the first unnumbered paragraph of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant admits that Application No. 85682937 for the mark FRAMELOGIC for goods in Class 9 was filed on July 20, 2012 by Technicolor, a société anonyme. Applicant denies that that Opposer will be damaged by registration of Application No. 85682937.

1. Applicant has insufficient knowledge to be able to admit or deny the allegations of numbered paragraph 1, and therefore neither admits nor denies such allegations.

2. Applicant has insufficient knowledge to be able to admit or deny the allegations of numbered paragraph 2, and therefore neither admits nor denies such allegations.

3. Applicant admits that Application No. 85682937 was filed on July 20, 2012 with a priority filing date of February 13, 2012, and that this priority filing date is the earliest date upon which Applicant can rely for purposes of priority for this application.

4. Applicant has insufficient knowledge to be able to admit or deny the allegations of numbered paragraph 4, and therefore neither admits nor denies such allegations.

5. Applicant denies that at the time Applicant filed Application No. 85682937 and began using its mark, that it had actual knowledge of Opposer, Opposer's corporate or trade name, or Opposer's use of FRAME LOGIC DIGITAL as a trademark. Applicant denies that it had actual business interactions with Opposer. Applicant denies that its filing of Application No. 85682937 and its use of its FRAMELOGIC mark were or are in bad faith. With regard to the remaining allegations of numbered paragraph 5, Applicant has insufficient knowledge to be able to admit or deny such allegations, and therefore neither admits nor denies such allegations.

6. Applicant reiterates its statements in response to allegations of paragraphs 1 – 5 of the notice of opposition.

7. Applicant denies the allegations of numbered paragraph 7.

8. Applicant has insufficient knowledge to be able to admit or deny the allegations of numbered paragraph 8, and therefore neither admits nor denies such allegations.

9. Applicant denies that there will be detriment to Opposer due to Applicant's use of its FRAMELOGIC mark or that Opposer will be damaged if a registration is granted to Applicant. With regard to the remaining allegations of numbered paragraph 9, Applicant has insufficient knowledge to be able to admit or deny such allegations, and therefore neither admits nor denies such allegations.

14. Applicant reiterates its statements in response to allegations of paragraphs 1 – 9 of the notice of opposition.

15. Applicant admits the allegations of the first numbered paragraph 15.

15. Applicant denies the allegations of the second numbered paragraph 15.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the Notice of Opposition be dismissed with prejudice.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 8, 2014

By: s/Michelle L. Visser

Michelle L. Visser

Melissa R. Atherton

RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC

39533 Woodward Avenue

Suite 140

Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304

(248) 594-0600

*Attorneys for Applicant*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I served the foregoing *Answer to Notice of Opposition* upon Opposer by causing a true and correct copy thereof to be sent via first class mail, postage prepaid to:

Stewart J. Bellus  
COLLARD & ROE, P.C.  
1077 Northern Blvd.  
Roslyn, NY 11576

Date: September 8, 2014

s/Michelle L. Visser

Michelle L. Visser